

Lecture 2

1 Surfaces and their tangents

There are several ways to define n dimensional surface in \mathbb{R}^m . The first is by a mapping $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$. The second is as the level surface of a function $F : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$.

Definition 1.1. A surface N in \mathbb{R}^m , given as the image of $\Phi(U)$ for $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, is of dimension n if the degree of the Jacobian matrix $D\Phi$ is n (its maximal possible degree). A vector field tangent to N is given by $\Phi_*(\mathbf{X})$ where \mathbf{X} is a vectorfield on U in \mathbb{R}^n .

In the other case,

Definition 1.2. A surface N in \mathbb{R}^m , given as the level set of $F = z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{m-n}$ is of dimension n if the degree of the Jacobian matrix DF is $m-n$. A vectorfield \mathbf{X} in \mathbb{R}^m is tangent to N if its image $F_*(\mathbf{X})$, which is a vectorfield in \mathbb{R}^{m-n} , attains a zero at z_0 .

Example: $N = \mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. $F(x, y, z) = 1 - x^2 - y^2 - z^2 = 0$. The tangent are all vector fields of the form

$$\{a\partial_x + b\partial_y + c\partial_z \ ; \ ax + by + cz = 0\}$$

Lemma 1.1. If \mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y} are tangent to N at any point, so is $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]$.

For $\mathbf{X} = z\partial_x - x\partial_z$, $\mathbf{Y} = z\partial_y - y\partial_z$ then $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}] = y\partial_x - x\partial_y$ is also tangent.

Definition 1.3. Let $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ a family of vector fields in \mathbb{R}^m . Then N is an integral surface if its tangent at any point is spanned by $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ at his point. $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ is integrable iff there exists an integral manifold through any point.

Definition 1.4. $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ is said to be in involution if there exists functions $c_{i,j}^k(x)$ so that $[\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j] = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k \mathbf{X}_k$.

Theorem 1. (Frobenius) $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ are linearly independent. Then they are in involution iff they are integrable.

Idea of proof: let

$$\psi(t_1, \dots, t_k) = \exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1) \exp(t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \dots \exp(t_k \mathbf{X}_k) x_0$$

where $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$. We then show that this defines, locally, an integrable surface tangent to the vector fields. This is evident for x_0 , and also for $\psi(0, 0, \dots, t_j, 0, \dots)$ by Lemma 0.1....

2 Lie algebras of vector fields

Definition 2.1. If $(\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k)$ are linearly independent and $[\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{X}_j] = \sum_k c_{i,j}^k \mathbf{X}_k$ where $c_{i,j}^k$ are constants, then they form a Lie algebra under the Lie derivative.

Theorem 2. Lie algebra generate an action of a Lie group via

$$\psi(t_1, \dots, t_k, x) = \exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1) \exp(t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \dots \exp(t_k \mathbf{X}_k) \circ x_0 \quad (2.1)$$

or

$$\psi(t_1, \dots, t_k, x) = \exp\left(\sum_1^k t_k \mathbf{X}_k\right) \circ x_0 \quad (2.2)$$

or any combination thereof.

Problem

1. Show that $[\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}]_{(x)} = -\left.\frac{d}{dt}\right|_{t=0} \exp(t\mathbf{X})_*(Y_{(\exp(-t\mathbf{X}) \circ x)})$.
2. Show that, at any point (t_1, \dots, t_k) , $\psi_*(\partial_{t_j})$ is tangent to the orbit (2.1) and (2.2) at that point (that is, spanned by $\mathbf{X}_1, \dots, \mathbf{X}_k$ at $x = \psi(t_1, \dots, t_k)$).

Examples

1. $\mathbf{X}_1 = \partial_x$, $\mathbf{X}_2 = x\partial_x + y\partial_y$. Then $[\mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2] = \mathbf{X}_1$. Let $(x_0, y_0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $y_0 > 0$.

$$\psi(t_1, t_2) := \exp(t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1) \circ (x_0, y_0) = (e^{t_2} x_0 + t_1 e^{t_2}, y_0 e^{t_2}) \quad (2.3)$$

this is an action of the group $G := (a, b)$, $b > 0$ defined by $(a_2, b_2) \circ (a_1, b_1) := (a_2 e^{-b_1} + a_1, b_1 + b_2)$.

Let us compute the vectorfields induced on G . Let $\theta(a, b) := \phi(e^b(x_0 + a), y_0 e^b)$. Then $\partial_a \theta = e^b \mathbf{X}_1(\phi)$, $\partial_b \theta = \mathbf{X}_2(\phi)$ so the vectorfields

$$e^{-b} \partial_a = [\psi_{\#}]^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_1) \quad , \quad \partial_b = [\psi_{\#}]^{-1}(\mathbf{X}_2) \quad (2.4)$$

are the Lie algebra of the group G on the upper half plane.

Problem: Calculate the Lie group and the corresponding Lie algebra for $\psi(t_1, t_2) := \exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1) \exp(t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \circ (x_0, y_0)$ and $\psi(t_1, t_2) := \exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \circ (x_0, y_0)$

2. $\mathbf{X}_1 = \partial_x$, $\mathbf{X}_2 = \partial_y$, $\mathbf{X}_3 = y\partial_x - x\partial_y$. Then

$$\exp(t_1 \mathbf{X}_1) \exp(t_2 \mathbf{X}_2) \exp(t_3 \mathbf{X}_3) z = e^{it_3} (z + t_1 + it_2)$$

which is a transformation group under

$$(t, t_3) \cdot (\tau, \tau_3) := (t_3 + \tau_3, e^{it_3} \tau + t) .$$

2.1 Invariant functions

$I : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is G -invariant if $I(\psi(g, x)) = I(x)$ for all $g \in G$ and $x \in M$. Equivalent conditions:

- I is constant on orbits of G .
- The level sets $\{I(x) = c\}$ are G invariant subsets of M .

Examples:

- Action of $O(n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n are all radial functions $I = I(r)$, $r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}$.
- Only constants are invariant functions of a transitive action (e.g $GL(\mathbb{R}, n)$ on \mathbb{R}^n).
- Invariant functions of the co-adjoint action of $O(n)$ on $Sym(n)$: All symmetric polynomials of the eigenvalues $tr(A^k)$, $k = 1, \dots, n$.

Functional dependence of a set f_1, \dots, f_n if for any x there exists a neighborhood U and a function H of n variables so $H(f_1, \dots, f_n) \equiv 0$ on U .

A *fundamental problem* is to determine a complete set of independent invariant functions for a certain group action.

Local invariants: For open $U \subset M$, $I : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a local invariant iff there exists $V_x \subset G$, neighborhood of the identity, and $I(g \cdot x) = I(x)$ for any $x \in U$ and $g \in V_x$ for which $g \cdot x \in U$. Example: the action of \mathbb{R} on the 2-torus $g(\theta, \phi) = (\theta + g, \phi + \kappa g)$ has a local invariant $I = \phi - \kappa\theta$. It is, indeed, local if $\kappa \notin \mathbb{Q}$.

2.2 Vectorfields induced by action

Let \mathbf{v} be a vector tangent to G at the identity $e \in G$. Then \mathbf{v} induces a vector-field $\mathbf{X}^{(\mathbf{v})}$ on M as follows:

$$\mathbf{X}_{(x)}^{(\mathbf{v})} = \Psi_*^{(x)}(\mathbf{v}) \quad \forall x \in M \quad (2.5)$$

where $\Psi^{(x)} := \Psi(x, \cdot) : G \rightarrow M$. That is, for any $\phi \in C^\infty(M)$

$$\mathbf{X}^{(\mathbf{v})}(\phi)_{(x)} = \mathbf{v} \left(\phi(\Psi^{(x)}(h)) \right)_{h=0} .$$

Examples:

1. Using (2.3) we take

$$\Psi^{(x,y)}(t_1, t_2) = (e^{t_2}x + t_1e^{t_2}, ye^{t_2})$$

The identity of the group is $t_1 = t_2 = 0$ so

$$\mathbf{X}^{(\partial_{t_1})}(\phi)_{(x,y)} = \partial_{t_1} \left(\phi(\Psi^{(x,y)}(t_1, t_2)) \right)_{t_1=t_2=0} = \partial_x \phi = \mathbf{X}_1(\phi)$$

$$\mathbf{X}^{(\partial_{t_2})}(\phi)_{(x,y)} = \partial_{t_2} \left(\phi(\Psi^{(x,y)}(t_1, t_2)) \right)_{t_1=t_2=0} = (x\partial_x + y\partial_y)\phi = \mathbf{X}_2(\phi)$$

2. The action of $\mathbb{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on \mathbb{R}^n : Let $\mathbf{v}_{i,j} := \partial_{t_{i,j}}$ at the identity of $\mathbb{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ (the identity matrix $t_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$). Then

$$\partial_{t_{i,j}} \phi \left(\sum_l t_{1,l} x_l, \sum_l t_{2,l} x_l \dots \sum_l t_{n,l} x_l \right)_{t_{i,j}=\delta_{i,j}} = x_j \phi_{x_i}$$

so $\mathbf{X}^{(\partial_{t_{i,j}})} = x_j \partial_{x_i}$.

2.3 Right action on Lie groups

In case $M = G$ then we define $\Psi(g, h) = R_g(h) = h \cdot g$ where $g, h \in G$. Any vector \mathbf{v} tangent to the identity of G is extended to a vectorfield \mathbf{V} on G as follows:

$$(R_g)_*(\mathbf{v})_{(h=0)} = \mathbf{V}_g,$$

that is,

$$\mathbf{V}_g(\phi) = \mathbf{v}_{(h=e)}(\phi(h \cdot g))$$

This way we obtain *Right-invariant vector fields* on G , each is uniquely determined by its value at the identity. In fact

$$R_{g,*} \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{V}$$

since

$$R_{g,*}(\mathbf{V}_h) = R_{g,*}(R_{h,*}\mathbf{v}) = (R_g R_h)_* \mathbf{v} = R_{h \cdot g,*} \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{V}_{R_g(h)}$$

Corollary 2.1. *The right-invariant v.f is a finite dimensional Lie algebra, which induces a Lie algebra structure on the tangent of G at the identity as*

$$[\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2] := [\mathbf{V}_1, \mathbf{V}_2]_{(e)}.$$

Examples:

1. The vector fields (2.4) are right invariant for the group $(h_1, h_2) \circ (a, b) := (h_1 e^{-b} + a, b + h_2)$. Indeed, $(R_{(a,b)})_* \partial_{h_1} = e^{-b} \partial_a$ and $(R_{(a,b)})_* \partial_{h_2} = \partial_b$
2. The right action of $\mathbb{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$: Let $\mathbf{v}^{(i,j)} := \partial_{x_{i,j}}$ be a vector at the identity $x_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j}$. Then, for $T = \{t_{i,j}\} \in \mathbb{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$

$$\mathbf{V}_T^{(i,j)} = \partial_{x_{i,j}} \left(\sum_{k,m} x_{l,k} t_{k,m} \right) \partial_{x_{l,m}} = \sum_m t_{j,m} \partial_{x_{i,m}}$$

If we replace $t_{i,j}$ by $x_{i,j}$ we obtain all the right-invariant vector fields

$$\mathbf{V}^{(a)} = \sum_{i,j,m} a_{i,j} x_{j,m} \partial_{x_{i,m}}$$

for any $m \times m$ real matrix $a = \{a_{i,j}\}$. We can now calculate

$$[\mathbf{V}^{(a)}, \mathbf{V}^{(b)}] = \mathbf{V}^{([a,b])}$$

where $[a, b] = ab - ba$, the matrix cumutator.

2.4 Relation between action induced and right-invariant vectorfields

Lemma 2.1. *If $\Psi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is an action on M , \mathbf{v} a vector in the tangent of G at the identity, $\mathbf{X}^{(v)}$ the induced vector via (2.5) and $\mathbf{V}^{(v)}$ a right invariant on G , then*

$$\Psi_*^{(x)} \left(\mathbf{V}^{(v)} \right) = \mathbf{X}^{(v)} .$$

Proof. Since $\Psi^{(x)} \circ R_g(h) = \Psi^{(\Psi^{(x)}(g))}(h)$ (prove) and $[\Psi^{(x)} \circ R_g]_* = \Psi_*^{(x)} \circ R_{g,*}$ we get

$$\Psi_*^{(x)} \left(\mathbf{V}_g^{(v)} \right) = \Psi_*^{(x)} \circ R_{g,*} (v) = \Psi_*^{(\Psi^{(x)}(g))} (v) = \mathbf{X}_{(\Psi^{(x)}(g))}^{(v)}$$

□

Corollary 2.2. *The vectorfields \mathbf{X} induced by an action $\Psi : G \times M \rightarrow M$ is a finite Lie algebra, where*

$$[\mathbf{X}^{(v_1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(v_2)}] = \mathbf{X}^{([v_1, v_2])}$$

and $[v_1, v_2]$ is the Lie multiplication induced on the tangent of G at the identity by the right-invariant extension.

Remark 2.1. *In general,*

$$\Psi(g, \cdot)_* \mathbf{X}^{(v)} \neq \mathbf{X}^{(v)} .$$

Indeed, Let $g \in G$ and $\Psi_{(g)} = \Psi(\cdot, g) : M \rightarrow M$.

Then

$$\Psi_{(g),*} \mathbf{X}^{(v)}(\phi)_{\Psi_{(g)}(x)} \equiv \mathbf{X}^{(v)}(\phi \circ \Psi_{(g)})_{(x)} \equiv \mathbf{v}(\phi \circ \Psi_{(g)} \circ \Psi^{(x)}(h))_{h=0}$$

On the other hand

$$\mathbf{X}^{(v)}(\phi)_{\Psi_{(g)}(x)} \equiv \mathbf{v}(\phi \circ \Psi^{(\Psi_{(g)}(x))}(h))_{h=0}$$

but $\Psi^{(\Psi_{(g)}(x))}(h) \equiv \Psi(h, \Psi_{(g)}(x)) \equiv \Psi(h, \Psi(g, x)) \equiv \Psi(hg, x)$ while $\Psi_{(g)} \circ \Psi^{(x)}(h) = \Psi(g, \Psi(h, x)) = \Psi(gh, x)$ and, in general, $\Psi(gh, x) \neq \Psi(hg, x)$.

2.5 Infinitesimal invariants

Lemma 2.2. *$f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is invariant under the action Ψ of G iff $\mathbf{X}(f) = 0$ for any vectorfields \mathbf{X} induced by the action.*

Proof. Assume $f(x) = f(\Psi, g, x)$ for any $g \in G$. In particular, $\mathbf{c}_{(h=0)}(f \circ \Psi(h, x)) = 0$ for any \mathbf{v} at the identity of G . But, according to definition, it is just $\mathbf{X}^{(v)}(f) = 0$ where $\mathbf{X}^{(v)}$ is induced on M by the action.

Conversely, if $\mathbf{X}(f) = 0$ everywhere then also

$$\frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(t\mathbf{X})x) = 0$$

for any t so $f(x) = f(\exp(\mathbf{X}))$ for any \mathbf{X} in the Lie algebra. But any element $\psi(g, \cdot)$ is represented in this way. □

Corollary 2.3. f is an invariant iff it is a solution of the linear first order PDE

$$\sum_1^m \xi_i \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} = 0$$

for any $\mathbf{X} = \sum \xi_i \partial_{x_i}$ in the Lie algebra.

Example: the Lie algebra of the translation $(x, y) \rightarrow (x + ct, y + t)$ is $\mathbf{X} = c\partial_x + \partial_y$, and $f(x, y) = x - cy$ is an invariant. Is it the only invariant?

Theorem 3. Let N be a surface determined by $f_1 = \dots = f_{m-n} = 0$ and $(\nabla f_1, \dots, \nabla f_{m-n})$ is of rank $m - n$. Then N is G invariant under the action ψ of G on \mathbb{R}^m iff $\mathbf{X}(f_j) = 0$ for any \mathbf{X} in the Lie algebra of ψ , $1 \leq j \leq m - n$ and $x \in N$.

Proof. Without limit of generality we can transform the coordinates in \mathbb{R}^m into y_1, \dots, y_m where $y_i = f_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, n$. Then N is (locally) given by $y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0$. If \mathbf{X} in the Lie algebra then $\mathbf{X} = \sum \xi_i \partial_{y_i}$, so $\mathbf{X}(f_j) = \xi_j$. The condition $\mathbf{X}(f_j) = 0$ implies that

$$\xi_j = 0 \quad 1 \leq j \leq n \quad \text{whenever} \quad y_1 = \dots = y_n = 0 .$$

The flow $\phi(t, x)$ is a solution of

$$\frac{d\phi_i}{dt} = \xi_i(\phi(x, t)) \quad , \quad \phi_i(0) = 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1 \dots n .$$

Hence $\phi_i = 0$ for $i = 1 \dots n$. □

Example: $f(x, y) = x^4 + x^2y^2 + y^2 - 1$. The Lie algebra of the rotation group is $\mathbf{X} = -x\partial_y + y\partial_x$, and

$$\mathbf{X}(f) = -4x^3y - 2xy^3 + 2x^3y + 2xy = -2xy(x^2 + 1)^{-1}f(x, y)$$

so the zero level of f is rotation invariant. Indeed $f(x, y) = (x^2 + 1)(x^2 + y^2 - 1)$.

Note that $H(x, y) = y^2 - 2y + 1$ also verifies $\mathbf{X}(H) = 2x(y - 1) = 0$ for $H(x, y) = 0$ but is *not* rotationally invariant. In this case, $\nabla H = 0$ on $H = 0$!

Definition 2.2. If the dimension of the space spanned by all vectorfields induced by an action Ψ at a point $x \in M$ is independent of x (in a neighborhood of x), then the action is called regular (locally regular).

Proposition 2.1. If the action Ψ of a group is locally regular, then there exists a complete set of invariant functions f_1, \dots, f_n under the action, in the sense that any invariant function g is of the form $g = G(f_1, \dots, f_n)$ for some function G of n variables.