## Letter to J. Davis About Reciprocal Geodesics

Dear Jim,

I am following up on my e-mail to you about parametrizing and counting infinite maximal dihedral subgroups of $\Gamma=P S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and their connection to Gauss' ambiguous forms. Interestingly, besides your work with Connolly where these subgroups enter as decisive topological invariants for the connect sum problem [C-D], they also come up in [P-R] (see also the references therein) in connection with the stability of kicked dynamics of torus automorphisms and with quasi-morphisms of $\Gamma$.

Denote by $\{\gamma\}_{\Gamma}$ the conjugacy class in $\Gamma$ of an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$. The elliptic and parabolic classes (i.e. those with $t(\gamma) \leq 2$ where $t(\gamma)=\mid$ trace $\gamma \mid$ ) are well-known through examining the standard fundamental domain for $\Gamma$ as it acts on $\mathbb{H}$. We restrict our attention to hyperbolic $\gamma$ 's and we call such a $\gamma$ primitive (or prime) if it is not a proper power of another element of $\Gamma$. Denote by $P$ the set of such elements and by $\Pi$ the corresponding set of conjugacy classes. The primitive elements generate the maximal hyperbolic cyclic subgroups of $\Gamma$. We call a $p \in P$ reciprocal if $p^{-1}=S^{-1} p S$ for some $S \in \Gamma$. In this case, $S^{2}=1$ (proofs of this and further claims are given below) and $S$ is unique up to multiplication on the left by $\gamma \in\langle p\rangle$. Let $R$ denote the set of such reciprocal elements. For $r \in R$ the group $D_{r}=\langle r, S\rangle$, depends only on $r$ and it is a maximal infinite dihedral subgroup of $\Gamma$. Moreover, all of the latter arise in this way. Thus, the determination of the conjugacy classes of these dihedral subgroups is the same as determining $\rho$, the subset of $\Pi$, consisting of conjugacy classes of reciprocal elements. Geometrically, each $p \in P$ gives rise to an oriented primitive closed geodesic on $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$, whose length is $\log N(p)$ where $N(p)=\left[\left(t(p)+\sqrt{t(p)^{2}-4}\right) / 2\right]^{2}$. Conjugate elements give rise to the same oriented closed geodesic. A closed geodesic is equivalent to itself with its orientation reversed iff it corresponds to an $\{r\} \in \rho$.

The question as to whether a given $\gamma$ is conjugate to $\gamma^{-1}$ in $\Gamma$ is reflected in part in the corresponding local question. If $p \equiv 3(4)$, then $c=\left[\begin{array}{ll}1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$ is not conjugate to $c^{-1}$ in $S L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$, on the other hand, if $p \equiv 1(4)$ then every $c \in S L\left(2, \mathbb{F}_{p}\right)$ is conjugate to $c^{-1}$. This difficulty of being conjugate in $G(\bar{F})$ but not in $G(F)$ does not arise if $G=G L_{n}$ ( $F$, a field) and it is the source of a basic general difficulty associated with conjugacy classes in $G$ and the (adelic) trace formula and its stabilization [La]. For the case at hand when working over $\mathbb{Z}$, there is the added issue associated with the lack of a local to global principle and in particular the class group enters. In fact, certain
elements of order dividing 4 in Gauss' composition group play a critical role in the analysis of the reciprocal classes.

In order to study $\rho$ it is convenient to introduce some other set theoretic involutions of $\Pi$. Let $\phi_{R}$ be the involution of $\Gamma$ given by $\phi_{R}(\gamma)=\gamma^{-1}$. Let $\phi_{w}(\gamma)=w^{-1} \gamma w$ where $w=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1\end{array}\right) \in$ $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ (modulo inner automorphism $\phi_{w}$ generates the outer automorphisms of $\Gamma$ coming from $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})) . \phi_{R}$ and $\phi_{w}$ commute and set $\phi_{A}=\phi_{R} \circ \phi_{w}=\phi_{w} \circ \phi_{R}$. These three involutions generate the Klein group $G$ of order 4. The action of $G$ on $\Gamma$ preserves $P$ and $\Pi$. For $H$ a subgroup of $G$, let $\Pi_{H}=\{\{p\} \in \Pi: \phi(\{p\})=\{p\}$ for $\phi \in H\}$. Thus $\Pi_{\{e\}}=\Pi$ and $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}=\rho$. We call the elements in $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}$ ambiguous classes (we will see that they are related to Gauss' ambiguous classes of quadratic forms) and of $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}$, inert classes. Note that the involution $\gamma \rightarrow \gamma^{t}$ is up to conjugacy in $\Gamma$, the same as $\phi_{R}$, since the contragredient satisfies ${ }^{t} g^{-1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right] g\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Thus $p \in P$ is reciprocal iff $p$ is conjugate to $p^{t}$.

To give an explicit parametrization of $\rho$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C=\left\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}:(a, b)=1, a>0, d=4 a^{2}+b^{2} \text { is not a square }\right\} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

To each $(a, b) \in C$ let $\left(t_{0}, u_{0}\right)$ be the least solution with $t_{0}>0$ and $u_{0}>0$ of the Pell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}-d u^{2}=4 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our central assertion concerning parametrizing $\rho$ is that the map $\psi: C \longrightarrow \rho$ given by

$$
(a, b) \longrightarrow\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t_{0}-b u_{0}}{2} & a u_{0}  \tag{3}\\
a u_{0} & \frac{t_{0}+b u_{0}}{2}
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma}, \text { is two-to-one and onto.* }
$$

It is clear that $\psi((a, b))$ is reciprocal since an $A \in \Gamma$ is symmetric iff $S_{0}^{-1} A S_{0}=A^{-1}$ where $S_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right]$.

There is a further stratification to the correspondence (3). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}=\{m \mid m>0, m \equiv 0,1(4), m \text { not a square }\} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

*For the general $d$ it appears to be difficult to determine explicitly a one-to-one section of $\psi$.

Then

$$
C=\bigcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} C_{d}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{d}=\left\{(a, b) \in C \mid 4 a^{2}+b^{2}=d\right\} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Elementary considerations concerning proper representations of integers as a sum of two squares shows that $C_{d}$ is empty unless $d$ has only prime divisors $p$ with $p \equiv 1(4)$ or the prime 2 which can occur to exponent $\alpha=0,2$ or 3 . Denote this subset of $\mathcal{D}$ by $\mathcal{D}_{R}$. Moreover for $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|C_{d}\right|=2 \nu(d) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where for any $d \in \mathcal{D}, \nu(d)$ is the number of genera of binary quadratic forms of discriminant $d$ ((6) is not a coincidence as will be explained below). Explicitly $\nu(d)$ is given as follows: If $d=2^{\alpha} D$ with $D$ odd and if $\lambda$ is the number of distinct prime divisors of $D$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
\nu(d) & =2^{\lambda-1} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha=0 \\
& =2^{\lambda-1} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha=2 \quad \text { and } \quad D \equiv 1(4) \\
& =2^{\lambda} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha=2 \quad \text { and } \quad D \equiv 3(4) \\
& =2^{\lambda} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha=3 \quad \text { or } \quad 4 \\
& =2^{\lambda+1} \quad \text { if } \quad \alpha \geq 5
\end{align*}
$$

Corresponding to (5) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\bigsqcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}} \rho_{d} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\rho_{d}=\psi\left(C_{d}\right)$. In particular, $\psi: C_{d} \longrightarrow \rho_{d}$ is two-to-one and onto and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\rho_{d}\right|=\nu(d) \text { for } d \in \mathcal{D}_{R} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Local considerations show that for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the Pell equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}-d u^{2}=-4 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

can only have a solution if $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$. When $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ it may or may not have a solution. Let $\mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$be those $d$ 's for which (9) has a solution and $\mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}$the set of $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ for which (9) has no integer solution. Then
(i) For $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}$none of the $\{r\} \in \rho_{d}$, are ambiguous.
(ii) For $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$, every $\{r\} \in \rho_{d}$ is ambiguous.

In this last case (ii) we can choose an explicit section of the 2 to 1 map (3). For $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$let $C_{d}^{-}=\{(a, b): b<0\}$, then $\psi: C_{d}^{-} \longrightarrow \rho_{d}$ is a bijection.

Using these parameterizations as well as some standard techniques from the spectral theory of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ one can count the number of primitive reciprocal classes. We order the primes $\{p\} \in \Pi$ by their trace $t(p)$ (this is equivalent to ordering the corresponding prime geodesics by their lengths). For $H$ a subgroup of $G$ and $x>2$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{H}(x):=\sum_{\substack{\{p\} \in \Pi_{H} \\ t(p) \leq x}} 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following asymptotics as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Pi_{\{1\}}(x) \sim \frac{x^{2}}{2 \log x},  \tag{11}\\
& \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{47}{8 \pi^{2}} x(\log x)^{2},  \tag{12}\\
& \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{3}{16} x,  \tag{13}\\
& \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{x}{2 \log x} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{G}(x) \sim c_{3} x^{1 / 2} \log x \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

(All of these are established with an exponent saving for the remainder).
In particular, roughly square root of all the primitive classes are reciprocal while the fourth root of them are simultaneously reciprocal ambiguous and inert.

We turn to the proofs of the above statements as well as a further discussion connecting $\rho$ with elements of order dividing four in Gauss' composition groups.

We begin with the implication, $S^{-1} p S=p^{-1} \Longrightarrow S^{2}=1$. This is true already in $P S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Indeed, in this group $p$ is conjugate to $\pm\left(\begin{array}{cc}\lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\end{array}\right)$ with $\lambda>1$. Hence $S p^{-1}=p S$ with $S=\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right] \Longrightarrow a=d=0$, i.e. $S= \pm\left[\begin{array}{cc}0 & \beta \\ -\beta^{-1} & 0\end{array}\right]$ and so $S^{2}=1$. If $S$ and $S_{1}$ satisfy $x^{-1} p x=p^{-1}$ then $S S_{1}^{-1} \in \Gamma_{p}$ the centralizer of $p$ in $\Gamma$. But $\Gamma_{p}=\langle p\rangle$ and hence $S=\beta S_{1}$ with $\beta \in\langle p\rangle$. Now every element $S \in \Gamma$ whose order is two (ie an elliptic element of order 2 ) is conjugate in $\Gamma$ to $S_{0}= \pm\left[\begin{array}{ll}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right]$. Hence any $r \in R$ is conjugate to an element $\gamma \in \Gamma$ for which $S_{0}^{-1} \gamma S_{0}=\gamma^{-1}$. The last is equivalent to $\gamma$ being symmetric. Thus each $r \in R$ is conjugate to a $\gamma \in R$ with $\gamma=\gamma^{t}$.

We can be more precise;
Every $r \in R$ is conjugate to exactly four $\gamma$ 's which are symmetric.
To see this associate to each $S$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{-1} r S=r^{-1} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

the two solutions $\gamma_{S}$ and $\gamma_{S}^{\prime}$ (here $\gamma_{S}^{\prime}=S \gamma_{S}$ ) of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{-1} S \gamma=S_{0} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S}^{-1} r \gamma_{S}=\left(\left(\gamma_{S}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} r \gamma_{S}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \text { and both of these are symmetric. } \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus each $S$ satisfying (17) affords a conjugation of $r$ to a pair of inverse symmetric matrices. Conversely every such conjugation of $r$ to a symmetric matrix is induced as above from a $\gamma_{S}$. Indeed if $\beta^{-1} r \beta$ is symmetric then $S_{0}^{-1} \beta^{-1} r \beta S_{0}=\beta^{-1} r^{-1} \beta$ and so $\beta S_{0}^{-1} \beta^{-1}=S$ for an $S$ satisfying (17). Thus to establish (16) it remains to count the number of distinct images $\gamma_{S}^{-1} r \gamma_{S}$ and its inverse that we get as we vary over all $S$ satisfying (17). Suppose then that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S}^{-1} r \gamma_{S}=\gamma_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} r \gamma_{S^{\prime}} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S^{\prime}} \gamma_{S}^{-1}=b \in \Gamma_{r}=\langle r\rangle \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (18)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S}^{-1} S \gamma_{S}=\gamma_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} S^{\prime} \gamma_{S^{\prime}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{S^{\prime}} \gamma_{S}^{-1} S \gamma_{S} \gamma_{S^{\prime}}^{-1}=S^{\prime} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (21) in (23) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{-1} S b=S^{\prime} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $b S$ satisfies (17) hence $b S b S=1$. Putting this relation in (24) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{\prime}=b^{-2} S \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

These steps after (22) may all be reversed and we find that (20) holds iff $S=b^{2} S^{\prime}$ for some $b \in \Gamma_{r}$. Since the solutions of (17) are parametrized by $b S$ with $b \in \Gamma_{r}$ (and $S$ a fixed solution) it follows that as $S$ runs over solutions of (17), $\gamma_{S}^{-1} r \gamma_{S}$ and $\left(\gamma_{S}^{\prime}\right)^{-1} r\left(\gamma_{S}^{\prime}\right)$ run over exactly four elements. This completes the proof of (16). This argument should be compared with the one in [Ca, pp 342] for counting the number of ambiguous classes of forms.

To continue we make use of the explicit correspondence between $\Pi$ and classes of binary quadratic forms (see [Sa1] and also [He] pp 514-518). An integral binary quadratic form $f=[a, b, c]$ (i.e. $\left.a x^{2}+b x y+x y^{2}\right)$ is primitive if $(a, b, c)=1$. Let $F$ denote the set of such forms whose discriminant $d=b^{2}-4 a c$ is in $\mathcal{D}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
F=\bigsqcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} F_{d} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $F_{d}$ consisting of the forms of discriminant $d$. The symmetric square representation of $P G L_{2}$ gives an action $\sigma(\gamma)$ on $F$ for each $\gamma \in \Gamma$. It is given by $\sigma(\gamma) f=f^{\prime}$ where $f^{\prime}(x, y)=f((x, y) \gamma)$. Following Gauss we decompose $F$ into equivalence classes under this action $\sigma(\Gamma)$. The class of $f$ is denoted by $\bar{f}$ or $\Phi$ and the set of classes by $\mathcal{F}$. Equivalent forms have a common discriminant and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\bigsqcup_{d \in \mathcal{D}} \mathcal{F}_{d} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is finite and its cardinality is denoted by $h(d)$ - the class number. Define a map $n$ from $P$ to $F$ by

$$
p=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{28}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right] \xrightarrow{n} f(p)=\frac{1}{\delta} \operatorname{sgn}(a+d)[b, d-a,-c] .
$$

where $\delta=\operatorname{gcd}(a, d-a, c) \geq 1$. $n$ satisfies the following
(i) $n$ is a bijection from $\Pi$ to $F$.
(ii) $n\left(\gamma p \gamma^{-1}\right)=(\operatorname{det} \gamma) \sigma(\gamma) n(p)$ for $\gamma \in P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
(iii) $n\left(p^{-1}\right)=-n(p)$
(iv) $n\left(w^{-1} p w\right)=n(p)^{*}$
(v) $n\left(w^{-1} p^{-1} w\right)=n(p)^{\prime}$
where

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, b, c]^{*}=[-a, b,-c] \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a, b, c]^{\prime}=[a,-b, c] . \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is a straight forward verification except for $n$ being onto, which relies on the theory of Pell's equation (2). If $f=[a, b, c] \in F$ and has discriminant $d$ and if $\left(t_{d}, u_{d}\right)$ is the fundamental positive solution to (2) (we also let $\epsilon_{d}:=\frac{t_{d}+\sqrt{d} u_{d}}{2}$ ) and if

$$
p=\left[\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{t_{d}-u_{d} b}{2} & a u_{d}  \tag{31}\\
-c u_{d} & \frac{t_{d}+u_{d} b}{2}
\end{array}\right]
$$

then $p \in P$ and $n(p)=f$. That $p$ is primitive follows from the well-known fact [see Ca pp 291] that the group of automorphs of $f, \operatorname{Aut}_{\Gamma}(f)$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{Aut}_{\Gamma}(f):=\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \sigma(\gamma) f=f\}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t-b u}{2} & a u  \tag{32}\\
-c u & \frac{t+b u}{2}
\end{array}\right): t^{2}-d u^{2}=4\right\} / \pm 1
$$

More generally

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z(f):=\{\gamma \in P G L(2, \mathbb{Z}) \mid \sigma(\gamma) f=(\operatorname{det} \gamma) f\} \\
&  \tag{33}\\
& =\left\{\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{t-b u}{2} & a u \\
-c u & \frac{t+b u}{2}
\end{array}\right): t^{2}-d u^{2}= \pm 4\right\} / \pm 1
\end{align*}
$$

$Z(f)$ is cyclic with a generator $\eta_{f}$ corresponding to the fundamental solution $\eta_{d}=\left(t_{1}+\sqrt{d} u_{1}\right) / 2$, $t_{1}>0, u_{1}>0$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
t^{2}-d u^{2}= \pm 4 \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (9) has a solution, i.e. $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$then $\eta_{d}$ corresponds to a solution of (9) and $\epsilon_{d}=\eta_{d}^{2}$. If (9) doesn't have a solution then $\eta_{d}=\epsilon_{d}$. Note that $Z(f)$ has elements with $\operatorname{det} \gamma=-1$ iff $d_{f} \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$.

From (ii) of the properties of the correspondence $n$ we see that $Z(f)$ is the centralizer of $p$ in $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, where $n(p)=f$.

Also from (ii) it follows that $n$ preserves classes and gives a bijection between $\Pi$ and $\mathcal{F}$. Moreover, from (iii), (iv) and (v) we see that the action of $\left.G=\left\{1, \phi_{w}, \phi_{A}, \phi_{R}\right)\right\}$ corresponds to that of $\tilde{G}=\{1, *, \prime,-\}$ on $\mathcal{F}, \tilde{G}$ preserves the decomposition (27) and we therefore examine the fixed points of $g \in \tilde{G}$ on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$.

Gauss [Ga] determined the number of fixed points of $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. He discovered that $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ forms an abelian group under his law of composition. In terms of the group law, $\Phi^{\prime}=\Phi^{-1}$ for $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$. Hence the number of fixed points of $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ (which he calls ambiguous forms) in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is the number of elements of order (dividing) 2. Furthermore $\mathcal{F}_{d} / \mathcal{F}_{d}^{2}$ is isomorphic to the group of genera (the genera are classes of forms with equivalence being local integral equivalence at all places). Thus the number of fixed points of $\boldsymbol{\prime}$ in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is equal to the number of genera, which in turn he showed is equal to the number $\nu(d)$ defined earlier. For an excellent modern treatment of all of this see [Ca].

Consider next the involution $*$ on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. If $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $b \equiv d(2)$ then the forms $\left[-1, b, \frac{d-b^{2}}{4}\right]$ are all equivalent and this defines a class $J \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$. Using composition one sees immediately that $J^{2}=1$, that is $J$ is ambiguous. Also applying composition one finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J \overline{[a, b, c]}=\overline{[-a, b,-c]}={\overline{[a, b, c}]^{*}}^{*} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

That is the action of $*$ on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is given by $\Phi \rightarrow \Phi J$. Thus $*$ has a fixed point in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ iff $J=1$, in which case all of $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is fixed by $*$.

To analyze when $J=1$ we first determine when $J$ and 1 are in the same genus (i.e. the principal genus). Since $\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right]$ and $\left[1,-b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right]$ are in the same genus (they are even equivalent) it follows that $J$ and 1 are in the same genus iff $f=\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right]$ and $-f$ are in the same genus. An examination of the local genera (see [Ca pp 339]) shows that there is an $f$ of discriminant $d$ which is in the same genus as $-f$ iff $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$. Thus $J$ is in the principal genus iff $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$.

To complete the analysis of when $J=1$, note that this happens iff $\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right] \sim\left[-1, b \frac{d-b^{2}}{4}\right]$. That is $\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right] \sim(\operatorname{det} w) \sigma(w)\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right]$. Alternatively, $J=1 \operatorname{iff} f=(\operatorname{det} \gamma) \sigma(\gamma) f$ with $f=$ $\left[1, b, \frac{b^{2}-d}{4}\right]$ and $\operatorname{det} \gamma=-1$. According to (35) this is equivalent to $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$. Thus $*$ fixes $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ iff $J=1$ iff $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$and otherwise $*$ has no fixed points in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$.

We turn to the case of interest, that is the fixed points of - on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$. Since - is the (mapping) composite of $*$ and $/$ we see from the discussion above, that the action $\Phi \longrightarrow-\Phi$ on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ when
expressed in terms of (Gauss) composition on $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi \longrightarrow J \Phi^{-1} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus the reciprocal forms in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ are those $\Phi$ 's satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi^{2}=J \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $J^{2}=1$, these $\Phi$ 's have order dividing 4. Clearly, the number of solutions to (41) is either 0 or $\#\left\{B \mid B^{2}=1\right\}$, that is, it is either 0 or the number of ambiguous classes which we know is $\nu(d)$. According to (38) if $d \notin \mathcal{D}_{R}$ then $J$ is not in the principal genus and since $\Phi^{2}$ is in the principal genus for every $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{d}$, it follows that if $d \notin \mathcal{D}_{R}$ then (41) has no solutions. On the other hand, if $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ then we remarked earlier that $d=4 a^{2}+b^{2}$ with $(a, b)=1$. In fact there are $2 \nu(d)$ such representations with $a>0$. Each of these yields a form $f=[a, b,-a]$ in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ and each of these is reciprocal by $S_{0}$. Hence for each such $f, \Phi=\bar{f}$ satisfies (41), which of course can also be checked by a direct calculation with composition. Thus for $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$, (41) has exactly $\nu(d)$ solutions. In fact, the $2 \nu(d)$ forms $f=[a, b,-a]$ above project onto the $\nu(d)$ solutions in a two-to-one manner. To see this, recall $\left(15^{\prime}\right)$, which via the correspondence $n$, asserts that every reciprocal $g$ is equivalent to an $f=[a, b, c]$ with $a=c$. Moreover, since $[a, b,-a]$ is equivalent to $[-a,-b, a]$ it follows that every reciprocal class has a representative form $f=[a, b,-a]$ with $(a, b) \in C_{d}$. That is $(a, b) \longrightarrow \overline{[a, b,-a]}$ from $C_{d}$ to $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ maps onto the $\nu(d)$ reciprocal forms. That this map is two-to-one follows immediately from (16) and the correspondence $n$. This completes our proof of (3) and (8). In fact (15) and (16) give a direct counting argument proof of (3) and (8) which does not appeal to the composition group or Gauss' determination of the number of ambiguous classes. The statements (i) and (ii) on page 7 follow from (41) and (39). If $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$then $J=1$ and from (41) the reciprocal and ambiguous classes coincide. If $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}$then $J \neq 1$ and according to (14) the reciprocal classes constitute a fixed (non-identity) coset of the group $A$ of ambiguous classes in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$.

To summarize we have the following: The primitive hyperbolic conjugacy classes are in 1-1 correspondence with classes of forms of discriminants $d \in \mathcal{D}$. To each such $d$, there are $h(d)=\left|\mathcal{F}_{d}\right|$ such classes all of which have a common trace $t_{d}$ and norm $\epsilon_{d}^{2}$. The number of ambiguous classes for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$ is $\nu(d)$. Unless $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ there are no reciprocal classes in $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ while if $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ then there are $\nu(d)$ such classes and they are parametrized by $C_{d}$ in a two-to-one manner. If $d \notin \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$, there are no inert classes. If $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$every class is inert and every ambiguous class is reciprocal and vice-versa. For $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}, C_{d}^{-}$parametrizes the $G$ fixed classes.

Here are some examples:
(i) If $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ has no elements of order four, then $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$(this fact seems to be first noted in [Re1]). For if $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}$then $J \neq 1$ and hence any one of our $\nu(d)$ reciprocal classes is of order four. In particular, if $d=p \equiv 1(4)$, then $h(d)$ is odd (from the definition of ambiguous forms it is clear that $h(d) \equiv \nu(d)(\bmod 2))$ and hence $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$. That is $t^{2}-p u^{2}=-4$ has a solution (this is a well-known result of Legendre).
(ii) $d=20=5 \times 4 . \quad \eta_{20}=\frac{4+\sqrt{20}}{2}, \epsilon_{20}=\frac{18+4 \sqrt{20}}{2}, 20 \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$and $\nu(20)=h(20)=2$. The distinct classes are $\overline{[1,-4,-1]}$ and $\overline{[2,-2,-2]}$. Both are ambiguous, reciprocal and inert. The corresponding classes in $\rho$ are

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 4 \\
4 & 17
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
5 & 8 \\
8 & 13
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma}
$$

(iii) $d=52=13 \times 4$. This is similar to (ii) except that the units are bigger. $52 \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}, \eta_{52}=\frac{36+5 \sqrt{52}}{2}$, $\epsilon_{52}=\frac{1298+180 \sqrt{52}}{2}, \nu(52)=h(52)=2$. The distinct classes are $\overline{[1,6,-4]}=\overline{[3,-4,-3]}$ and $\overline{[2,-6,-2]}$. Both classes are ambiguous, reciprocal and inert. The corresponding classes in $\rho$ are

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
289 & 540 \\
540 & 1009
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
109 & 360 \\
360 & 1189
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma} .
$$

(iv) $d=221=13 \times 17 \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}, \eta_{221}=\epsilon_{221}=\frac{15+\sqrt{221}}{2}, \nu(221)=2$ while $h(221)=4$. The distinct classes are $\overline{[1,13,-13]}, \overline{[-1,13,13]}, \overline{[5,11,-5]}$ and $\overline{[5,-11,-5]}$. The first two classes are the ambiguous ones while the last two are the reciprocal ones. There are no inert classes. The composition group is cyclic of order 4 with generator either of the reciprocal classes. The two genera consist of the ambiguous classes in one genus and the reciprocal classes in the other. The corresponding reciprocal classes in $\rho$ are

$$
\left\{\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 5 \\
5 & 13
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma} \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{\left[\begin{array}{cc}
13 & 5 \\
5 & 2
\end{array}\right]\right\}_{\Gamma}
$$

The two-to-one correspondence from $C_{221}$ to $\rho$ has $(5,11)$ and $(7,5)$ going to the first class and $(5,-11)$ and $(7,-5)$ going to the second class.
(v) Markov discovered an infinite set of elements of $\Pi$ all of which project entirely into the set $\mathcal{F}_{3 / 2}$, where for $a>1 \mathcal{F}_{a}=\{z \in \mathcal{F} ; y<a\}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the standard fundamental domain for $\Gamma$. These primitive geodesics are parametrized by positive integral solutions $m=\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ of

$$
m_{0}^{2}+m_{1}^{2}+m_{2}^{2}=3 m_{0} m_{1} m_{2}
$$

All such solutions can be gotten from the solution $(1,1,1)$ by repeated application of the transformation $\left(m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(3 m_{1} m_{2}-m_{0}, m_{1}, m_{2}\right)$ and permutations of the coordinates. The set of solutions to (41') is very sparse ([Za]). For a solution $m$ of (41') with $m_{0} \geq m_{1} \geq$ $m_{2}$ let $u_{0}$ be the (unique) integer in $\left(0, m_{0} / 2\right]$ which is congruent to $\epsilon \bar{m}_{1} m_{2}\left(\bmod m_{0}\right)$ where $\epsilon= \pm 1$ and $\bar{m}_{1} m_{1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod m_{0}\right)$. Let $v_{0}$ be defined by $u_{0}^{2}+1=m_{0} v_{0}$, it is an integer since $\left(\bar{m}_{1} m_{2}\right)^{2} \equiv-1\left(\bmod m_{0}\right)$, from $\left(41^{\prime}\right)$. Set $f_{m}$ to be $\left[m_{0}, 3 m_{0}-2 u_{0}, v_{0}-3 u_{0}\right]$ if $m_{0}$ is odd and $\frac{1}{2}\left[m_{0}, 3 m_{0}-2 u_{0}, v_{0}-3 u_{0}\right]$ if $m_{0}$ is even. Then $f_{m} \in F$ and let $\Phi_{m}=\bar{f}_{m} \in \mathcal{F}$. Its discriminant $d_{m}$ is $9 m_{0}^{2}-4$ if $m_{0}$ is odd and $\left(9 m_{0}^{2}-4\right) / 4$ if $m_{0}$ is even. The fundamental unit is given by $\epsilon_{d_{m}}=\left(3 m+\sqrt{d_{m}}\right) / 2$ and the corresponding class in $\Pi$ is $\left\{p_{m}\right\}_{\Gamma}$ with

$$
p_{m}=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
u_{0} & m_{0} \\
3 u_{0}-v_{0} & 3 m_{0}-u_{0}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The basic fact about these geodesics is that they are the only complete geodesics which project entirely into $\mathcal{F}_{3 / 2}$ and what is of interest to us here, these $\left\{p_{m}\right\}_{\Gamma}$ are all reciprocal (see [C-F page 20] for proofs).
$m=(1,1,1)$ gives $\Phi_{(1,1,1)}=\overline{[1,1,-1]}, d_{(1,1,1)}=5, \epsilon_{5}=(3+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ while $\eta_{5}=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$. Hence $d_{5} \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$and $\Phi_{(1,1,1)}$ is ambiguous and reciprocal. The same is true for $m=(2,1,1)$ and $\Phi_{(2,1,1)}=\overline{[1,2,-1]}$.
$m=(5,2,1)$ gives $\Phi_{(5,2,1)}=[5,11,-5]$ and $d_{(5,2,1)}=221$. This is the case considered in (iv) above. $\Phi_{(5,2,1)}$ is one of the two reciprocal classes of discriminant 221. It is not ambiguous. For $m \neq(1,1,1)$ or $(2,1,1), \eta_{d_{m}}=\epsilon_{d_{m}}$ and since $\Phi_{m}$ is reciprocal we have that $d_{m} \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+}$and since $\Phi_{m}$ is not ambiguous, it has order 4 in $\mathcal{F}_{d_{m}}$.

We turn to counting the primes $\{p\} \in \Pi_{H}$, for the subgroups $H$ of $G$. The cases $H=\{e\}$ and $\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle$ are similar in that they are connected with the prime geodesic theorems for $\Gamma=P S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})[\mathrm{He}]$.

Since $t(p) \sim(N(p))^{1 / 2}$ as $t(p) \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\{e\}}(x)=\sum_{\substack{t(p) \leq x \\\{p\} \in \Pi}} 1 \sim \sum_{\substack{N(p) \leq x^{2} \\\{p\} \in \Pi}} 1 . \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to our parametrization we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{N(p) \leq x^{2} \\\{p\} \in \Pi}} 1=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} h(d) \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The prime geodesic theorem for a general lattice in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is proved using the trace formula, however for $\Gamma=P S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ the derivation of sharpest known remainder makes use of the PeterssonKuznetzov formula and is established in [L-S]. It reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{N(p) \leq x \\\{p\} \in \Pi}} 1=L i(x)+O\left(x^{7 / 10}\right) . \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\{e\}}(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} h(d) \sim \frac{x^{2}}{2 \log x} \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

We examine $H=\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle$ next. As $x \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}(x)=\sum_{\substack{t(p) \leq x \\\{p\} \in \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}}} 1 \sim \sum_{\substack{\left.N(p) \leq x^{2} \\\{p\} \in \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}\right\rangle}} 1 \tag{46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again according to our parametrization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{\left.N(p) \leq x^{2} \\\{p\} \in \mathbb{\Pi}_{\langle }\right\rangle}} 1=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} h(d) . \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if $p \in P$ and $\phi_{w}(\{p\})=\{p\}$ then $w^{-1} p w=\delta^{-1} p \delta$ for some $\delta \in \Gamma$. Hence $w \delta^{-1}$ is in the centralizer of $p$ in $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\operatorname{det}\left(w \delta^{-1}\right)=-1$. From (36) it follows that there is a unique primitive $h \in P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, $\operatorname{det} h=-1$, such that $h^{2}=p$. Moreover, every primitive $h$ with det $h=-1$ arises this way and if $p_{1}$ is conjugate to $p_{2}$ in $\Gamma$ then $h_{1}$ is $\Gamma$ conjugate to $h_{2}$. That is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{N(p) \leq x^{2} \\\{p\} \in \Pi_{\langle\phi w}}} 1=\sum_{\substack{N(h) \leq x \\\{h\} \Pi \\ \operatorname{det} h=-1}} 1 \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last sum is over all primitive hyperbolic elements in $P G L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ with $\operatorname{det} h=-1,\{h\}_{\Gamma}$ denotes $\Gamma$ conjugacy and $N(h)=\sqrt{N\left(h^{2}\right)}$. The right hand side of (48) can be studied via the trace formula for the even and odd part of the spectrum of $\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ ( $[\mathrm{Ve}] \mathrm{pp} 138-143$ ). Specifically, it follows from ([Ef] pp 210) and an analysis of the zeros and poles of the corresponding Selberg zeta functions $Z_{+}(s)$ and $Z_{-}(s)$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(s):=\prod_{\substack{\{h\}_{\Gamma}, \text { det } h=-1 \\ h \text { primitive }}}\left(\frac{1-N(h)^{-s}}{1+N(h)^{-s}}\right) \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a simple zero at $s=1$ and is homomorphic and otherwise non-vanishing in $\Re(s)>1 / 2$.
Using this and standard techniques it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{N(h) \leq x \\ \text { det } h=-1 \\\{\gamma\}_{\Gamma}}} 1 \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{x}{\log x} \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} h(d) \sim \frac{x}{2 \log x} \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotics for $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}, \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}$ and $\Pi_{G}$ all reduce to counting integer points lying on a quadric and inside a large region. These problems can be handled for quite general homogeneous varieties ([D-R-S], [E-M]), though two of the three cases at hand are singular so we deal with the counting directly.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x)=\sum_{\substack{\{\gamma\} \in \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle} \\ t(\gamma) \leq x}} 1=\sum_{\substack{t_{d} \leq x \\ d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}}} \nu(d) . \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (16) every $\gamma \in R$ is conjugate to exactly 4 primitive symmetric $\gamma \in \Gamma$. So

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x) & =\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{t(\gamma) \leq x \\
\gamma \in P \\
\gamma=\gamma^{t}}} 1 \\
& \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\substack{N(\gamma) \leq x^{2} \\
\gamma \in P \\
\gamma=\gamma^{t}}} 1 . \tag{53}
\end{align*}
$$

Now if $\gamma \in P$ and $\gamma=\gamma^{t}$, then for $k \geq 1, \quad \gamma^{k}=\left(\gamma^{k}\right)^{t}$ and conversely if $\beta \in \Gamma$ with $\beta=\beta^{t}, \beta$ hyperbolic and $\beta=\gamma_{1}^{k}$ with $\gamma_{1} \in P$ and $k \geq 1$, then $\gamma_{1}=\gamma_{1}^{t}$. Thus we have the disjoint union

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bigsqcup_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\{\gamma^{k}: \gamma \in P, \gamma=\gamma^{t}\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma: t(\gamma)>2, \gamma=\gamma^{t}\right\} \\
& \quad=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
b & d
\end{array}\right): a d-b^{2}=1,2<a+d, a, b, d \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \tag{54}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence as $y \longrightarrow \infty$ we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi(y) & :=\#\left\{\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
b & d
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma: 2<t(\gamma) \leq y\right\} \\
& \sim \#\left\{\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & b \\
b & d
\end{array}\right) \in \Gamma: 1<N(\gamma) \leq y^{2}\right\} \\
& =\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \#\left\{\gamma \in P: \gamma=\gamma^{t}, N(\gamma) \leq y^{2 / k}\right\} \\
& \left.=\#\left\{\gamma \in P: \gamma=\gamma^{t}, N(\gamma) \leq y^{2}\right\}+O(\psi(y) \log y)\right) . \tag{55}
\end{align*}
$$

Now $\gamma \longrightarrow \gamma^{t} \gamma$ maps $\Gamma$ onto the set of $\left[\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ b & d\end{array}\right], a d-b c=1$ and $a+d \geq 2$, in a two-to-one manner.

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)=\frac{1}{2}\left|\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma: \operatorname{trace}\left(\gamma^{t} \gamma\right) \leq y\right\}\right|-1 \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

This last is just the hyperbolic lattice point counting problem (for $\Gamma$ and $z_{0}=i$ ) see ([Iw] pp 192) from which we conclude that as $y \longrightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(y)=\frac{3}{4} y+O\left(y^{2 / 3}\right) \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with (55) and (53) we get that as $x \longrightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \sim \frac{3}{16} x \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

The case $H=\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle$ is similar but singular. Firstly one shows as in (16) (this is done in ([Ca] pp 341) where he determines the number of ambiguous forms and classes) that every $p \in P$ which is ambiguous is conjugate to precisely 4 primitive $p$ 's which are either of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{-1} p w=p^{-1} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
w_{1}^{-1} p w_{1}=p^{-1} \quad \text { with } \quad w_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0  \tag{60}\\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right]
$$

called of the first and second kind respectively.
Correspondingly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \sim \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}(x)=\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(1)}(x)+\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(2)}(x) \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

An analysis as above leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(1)}(x) \sim \frac{1}{4} \#\left\{a^{2}-b c=1 ; 1<a<\frac{x}{2}\right\}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{1<a<\frac{x}{2}} \tau\left(a^{2}-1\right) \tag{62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tau(m)=\#$ of divisors of $m$.
The asymptotics in (62) was investigated first by Ingham. We use the more flexible result in ([D-F-I] pp 211) from which we derive (with a small remainder if desired)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(1)}(x) \sim \frac{3}{4 \pi^{2}} x(\log x)^{2} \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(2)}(x)$ is a bit messier and reduces to counting

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4} \#\left\{(m, n, c): m^{2}-r=n(n-4 c), 2<m \leq x\right\} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is handled in the same way and if I made no arithmetic mistakes, yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}^{(2)}(x) \sim \frac{85}{16 \pi^{2}} x(\log x)^{2} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting these together gives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \sim \Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{47}{8 \pi^{2}} x(\log x)^{2} \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally we consider $H=G$. According to the parametrization we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{G}(x)=\sum_{\substack{\{p\} \in \Pi_{G} \\ t(p) \leq x}} 1=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ t_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \sim \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \tag{67}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the analysis of $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}$ and $\Pi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}$ we conclude that

$$
\Pi_{G}(x) \sim \frac{1}{4} \#\left\{\gamma=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{68}\\
b & c
\end{array}\right] \in P G L(2, \mathbb{Z}) ; \operatorname{det} \gamma=-1,2<a+c \leq \sqrt{x}\right\}
$$

Or what is equivalent after a change of variables:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{G}(x) \sim \frac{1}{4} \sum_{m \leq \sqrt{x}} r_{f}\left(m^{2}+4\right) \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r_{f}(t)$ is the number of representations of $t$ by $f\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}^{2}+4 x_{2}^{2}$. This asymptotics can be handled by one of a number of methods, for example [Ho1] and yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ \epsilon_{d} \leq x}} \nu(d) \sim \Pi_{G}(x) \sim c_{1} \sqrt{x} \log x \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $c_{1}$ is a positive constant that I didn't calculate.

Returning to our enumeration of geodesics, note that one could order the elements of $\Pi$ according to the discriminant $d$ in their parametrization and ask about the corresponding asymptotics. This is certainly a natural question and one that was raised in Gauss (see [Ga] §304).

For $H$ a subgroup of $G$ define the counting functions $\psi_{H}$ corresponding to $\Pi_{H}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{H}(x)=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ d \leq x}} \#\left\{\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_{d}: h(\Phi)=\Phi, h \in H\right\} \tag{71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus according to our analysis

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi_{\{e\}}(x)=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\
d \leq x}} h(d)  \tag{72}\\
\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}(x)=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\
d \leq x}} \nu(d)  \tag{73}\\
\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x)=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R} \\
d \leq x}} \nu(d)  \tag{74}\\
\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{w}\right\rangle}(x)=\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\
d \leq x}} h(d)  \tag{75}\\
\psi_{G}(x)=\sum_{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}, d \leq x} \nu(d) . \tag{76}
\end{gather*}
$$

The asymptotics here for the ambiguous classes was determined by Gauss ([Ga] §301), though note that he only deals with forms $[a, 2 b, c]$ and so his count is smaller than (73). One finds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{A}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{3}{2 \pi^{2}} x \log x, \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

As far as (74) goes, it is immediate from (1) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x) \sim \frac{3}{4 \pi} x, \text { as } x \longrightarrow \infty \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

The asymptotics for (72) and (75) are notoriously difficult problems. They are connected with the phenomenon that the normal order of $h(d)$ in this ordering appears to be not much larger than $\nu(d)$. There are Diophantine heuristic arguments that explain why this is so [Ho2], [Sa2], however as far as I am aware, all that is known are the immediate bounds

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1+o(1)) \frac{3}{2 \pi^{2}} x \log x \leq \psi_{\{e\}}(x) \ll \frac{x^{3 / 2}}{\log x} \tag{79}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lower bound coming from (77) and the upper bound from the asymptotics in [Sie],

$$
\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D} \\ d \leq x}} h(d) \log \epsilon_{d}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{18 \zeta(3)} x^{3 / 2}+O(x \log x)
$$

In [Ho2] a precise conjecture is made;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{\{e\}}(x) \sim c_{2} x(\log x)^{2} \tag{80}
\end{equation*}
$$

The difficulty with (76) lies in the delicate issue of the relative density of $\mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$in $\mathcal{D}_{R}$. See the discussions in [Lag] and [Mor] concerning the solvability of (9). In [Re2], the two component of $\mathcal{F}_{d}$ is studied and used to get lower bounds of the form; Fix $t$ a large integer, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{+} \\ d \leq x}} 1 \text { and } \sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}^{-} \\ d \leq x}} 1 \underset{t}{>} \frac{x(\log \log x)^{t}}{\log x} . \tag{81}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other each of these is bounded above by $\sum_{\substack{d \in \mathcal{D}_{R} \\ d \leq x}} 1$, which by Landau's thesis or the halfdimensional sieve is asymptotic to $c_{3} x / \sqrt{\log x}$. (81) leads to a corresponding lower bound for $\psi_{G}(x)$. The result $[\operatorname{Re} 2]$ leading to (81) suggest strongly that the proportion of $d \in \mathcal{D}_{R}$ which lie in $\mathcal{D}_{R}^{-}$is in $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ (In $[\mathrm{St}]$ a conjecture for the exact proportion is put forth together with some sound reasoning). It seems therefore quite likely that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\psi_{G}(x)}{\psi_{\left\langle\phi_{R}\right\rangle}(x)} \longrightarrow c_{4} \quad \text { as } \quad x \longrightarrow \infty, \quad \text { with } \quad \frac{1}{2}<c_{4}<1 \tag{82}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (78) and (79) that it is still the case that zero percent of the classes in $\Pi$ are reciprocal when ordered by discriminant, though this probability goes to zero much slower than when ordering by trace. On the other hand, according to (82) a positive proportion, even perhaps more than $1 / 2$, of the reciprocal classes are ambiguous in this ordering, unlike when ordering by trace.

I end with some comments about the question of the equidistribution of closed geodesics as well as some comments about higher dimensions. To each primitive closed $p \in \Pi$ we associate the measure $\mu_{p}$ on $X=\Gamma \backslash \mathbb{H}$ (or better still, the corresponding measure on the unit tangent bundle $\Gamma \backslash S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ ) which is arc length supported on the closed geodesic. For a positive finite measure $\mu$
let $\bar{\mu}$ denote the corresponding normalized probability measure. For many $p$ 's (almost all of them in the sense of density, when ordered by length) $\bar{\mu}_{p}$ becomes equidistributed w.r.t. $\overline{d A}=\frac{3}{\pi} \frac{d x d y}{y^{2}}$ as $\ell(p) \rightarrow \infty$. However, there are at the same time many closed geodesics which don't equidistribute w.r.t $\overline{d A}$ as their length goes to infinity. The Markov geodesics ( $41^{\prime \prime}$ ) are supported in $\mathcal{F}_{3 / 2}$ and so cannot equidistribute w.r.t $\overline{d A}$. Another example of singularly distributed closed geodesics is that of the principal class $1_{d}(\in \Pi)$, for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ of the form $m^{2}-4, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. In this case $\epsilon_{d}=(m+\sqrt{d}) / 2$ and its easily seen that $\bar{\mu}_{1_{d}} \rightarrow 0$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$ (that is all the mass of the measure corresponding to the principal class escapes in the cusp of $\mathbb{X}$ ). On renormalizing one finds that for $K$ and $L$ compact geodesic balls in $X, \lim _{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{1_{d}}(L)}{\mu_{1_{d}}(K)} \rightarrow \frac{\operatorname{Length}(g \cap L)}{\operatorname{Length}(g \cap K)}$, where $g$ is the infinite geodesics from $i$ to $i \infty$.

Equidistribution is often restored when one averages over naturally defined sets of geodesics. If $S$ is a finite set of (primitive) closed geodesics, set

$$
\bar{\mu}_{S}=\frac{1}{\ell(S)} \sum_{p \in S} \mu_{p}
$$

where $\ell(S)=\sum_{p \in S} \ell(p)$.
We say that an infinite set $S$ of closed geodesics is equidistributed w.r.t. $\mu$ when ordered by length (and similarly for ordering by discriminant) if $\bar{\mu}_{S_{X}} \rightarrow \mu$ as $X \rightarrow \infty$ where $S_{X}=\{p \in S: \ell(p) \leq x\}$. A fundamental theorem of Duke [D] asserts that the measures $\mu_{\mathcal{F}_{d}}$ for $d \in \mathcal{D}$ become equidistributed w.r.t. $\overline{d A}$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. From this, it follows that the measures

$$
\sum_{\substack{t(p)=t \\ t \in \in \bar{I}}} \mu_{p}=\sum_{\substack{t_{d}=t \\ d \in D}} \mu_{\mathcal{F}_{d}}
$$

become equidistributed w.r.t. $\overline{d A}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. In particular the set $\Pi$ of all primitive closed geodesics as well as the set of all inert closed geodesics become equidistributed as the length goes to infinity. The set $P$ of reciprocal geodesics also becomes equidistributed (the proof I have in mind uses the parametrization (1) coupled with the spectral methods mentioned on page 14). However, the set of ambiguous geodesics does not become equidistributed w.r.t. $\overline{d A}$. The extra log's in the asymptotics (63) give a hint that these may have some singular behavior. Also the ambiguous classes are algebraically close to the principal class, being the square roots of the latter, so it is not too surprising that they retain some of the features of the latter. Specifically, one can show
elementarily using (59) and (60) that a positive proportion of the measure of the ambiguous classes escapes in the cusp.

The distribution of these sets of geodesics is somewhat different when we order them by discriminant. Indeed at least conjecturally they should be equidistributed w.r.t. $d \bar{A}$. We assume the following normal order conjecture for $h(d)$ which is predicted by various heuristics [Sa2], [Ho2]; For $\alpha>0$ there is $\epsilon>0$ s.t.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\#\left\{d \in \mathcal{D}: d \leq x \text { and } h(d) \geq d^{\alpha}\right\}=O\left(x^{1-\epsilon}\right) . \tag{83}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the recent results of $[\mathrm{Po}]$ and $[\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{M}]$, if $h(d) \leq d^{\alpha_{0}}$ with $\alpha_{0}=1 / 5297$ then every closed geodesic of discriminant $d$ becomes equidistributed w.r.t. $d \bar{A}$ as $d \longrightarrow \infty$. From this and Conjecture (83) it follows that each of our sets of closed geodesics, including the set of principal ones, becomes equidistributed w.r.t. $d \bar{A}$, when ordered by discriminant.

An interesting question is whether the set of Markov geodesics is equidistributed w.r.t. some measure $\nu$ when ordered by length (or equivalently by discriminant). The support of such a $\nu$ would be one dimensional (Hausdorff). One can also ask about arithmetic equidistribution (eg congruences) for Markov forms and triples. Gamburd and myself are preparing a paper which investigates this question.

The dihedral subgroups of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ are the maximal elementary noncyclic subgroups of this group (an elementary subgroup is one whose limit set in $\mathbb{R} \cup\{\infty\}$ consists of at most 2 points). In this form one can examine the problem more generally. Consider for example the case of the Bianchi groups $\Gamma_{d}=P S L\left(2, O_{d}\right)$ where $O_{d}$ is the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}), d<0$. In this case, besides the issue of the conjugacy classes of maximal elementary subgroups, one can investigate the conjugacy classes of the maximal Fuchsian subgroups (that is subgroups whose limit sets are circles or lines in $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}=$ boundary of hyperbolic 3 -space $\left.\mathbb{H}^{3}\right)$. Such classes correspond precisely to the primitive totally geodesic hyperbolic surfaces of finite area immersed in $\Gamma_{d} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}$. As in the case of $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$, these are parametrized by orbits of integral orthogonal groups acting or corresponding quadrics (see Maclachlan and Reid [M-R]). In this case one is dealing with an indefinite integral quadratic form $f$ in four variables and their arithmetic is much more regular than that of ternary forms. The parametrization is given by orbits of the orthogonal group $O_{f}(\mathbb{Z})$ acting on $V_{t}=\{x: f(x)=t\}$
where the sign of $t$ is such that the stabilizer of an $x\left(\in V_{t}(\mathbb{R})\right)$ in $O_{f}(\mathbb{R})$ is not compact. As is shown in [M-R] using Siegel's mass formula (or using suitable local to global principles for spin groups in four variables (see $[\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{M}]$ ) the number of such orbits is bounded independent of $t$ (for $d=-1$, there are 1,2 or 3 orbits depending on congruences satisfied by $t$ ). The mass formula also gives a simple formula in terms of $t$ for the areas of the corresponding hyperbolic surface. Using this, it is straight forward to give an asymptotic count for the number of such totally geodesic surfaces of area at most $x$, as $x \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e. a "prime geodesic surface theorem"). It takes the form of this number being asymptotic to $c . x$ with $c$ positive constant depending on $\Gamma_{d}$. Among these, those surfaces which are noncompact are fewer in number being asymptotic to $c_{1} x / \sqrt{\log x}$.

Another regularizing feature which comes with more variables is that each such immersed geodesic surface becomes equidistributed in the hyperbolic manifold $X_{d}=\Gamma_{d} \backslash \mathbb{H}^{3}$ w.r.t. $d \tilde{V} \sigma l$, as its area goes to infinity. I know of two ways of proving this. The first is to use Maass' theta correspondence together with bounds towards the Ramanujan Conjectures for Maass forms on the upper half plane, coupled with the fact that there is basically only one orbit of $O_{f}(\mathbb{Z})$ on $V_{t}(\mathbb{Z})$ for each $t$ (see the paper of Cohen [C] for an analysis of a similar problem). The second method is to use Ratner's Theorem about equidistribution of unipotent orbits and that these geodesic hyperbolic surfaces are orbits of an $S O_{\mathbb{R}}(2,1)$ action in $\Gamma_{d} \backslash S L(2, \mathbb{C})$ (see the analysis in Eskin-Oh [E-O]).

With best regards,
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